This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455674

## Complexation and extraction studies of lanthanide ions by 1,1'-(3,6,9-Trioxaundecanedionyl)Diphenothiazine

Xiaofeng Li<sup>a</sup>; Yonghong Wen<sup>a</sup>; Weisheng Liu<sup>a</sup>; Shixia Liu; Minyu Tan<sup>a</sup>; Kaibei Yu<sup>b</sup> <sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China <sup>b</sup> Chengdu Center of Analysis and Measurement, Academia Sinica, Chengdu 610041, P.R. China

Online publication date: 12 May 2010

**To cite this Article** Li, Xiaofeng , Wen, Yonghong , Liu, Weisheng , Liu, Shixia , Tan, Minyu and Yu, Kaibei(2003) 'Complexation and extraction studies of lanthanide ions by 1,1'-(3,6,9-Trioxaundecanedionyl)Diphenothiazine', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 56: 18, 1537 — 1547

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00958970310001596782 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958970310001596782

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



# COMPLEXATION AND EXTRACTION STUDIES OF LANTHANIDE IONS BY 1,1'-(3,6,9-TRIOXAUNDECANEDIONYL)DIPHENOTHIAZINE

XIAOFENG LI<sup>a</sup>, YONGHONG WEN<sup>a</sup>, WEISHENG LIU<sup>a,\*</sup>, SHIXIA LIU, MINYU TAN<sup>a</sup> and KAIBEI YU<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, P.R. China; <sup>b</sup>Chengdu Center of Analysis and Measurement, Academia Sinica, Chengdu 610041, P.R. China

(Received 18 October 2001; In final form 23 April 2003)

Solid complexes of lanthanide picrates with 1,1'-(3,6,9-trioxaundecanedionyl)diphenothiazine (L),  $[Ln(pic)_3L]$ (Ln = La, Nd, Eu, Gd, Er, Y), have been prepared and characterized by elemental analysis, IR and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra. The molecular structure of  $[Er(pic)_3L] \cdot 1/2C_2H_5OH$  shows that five oxygens of L form a ring-like coordination structure. Er(III) is 9-coordinated by L together with the other two unidentate and one bidentate picrate anions, revealing a tri-capped distorted trigonal prism geometry. Solvent extraction of Ln(III) with L has been carried out in a water–nitrobenzene system by use of the multitracer method. The relationship between the complex structure and extractabilities of the ligand is discussed.

Keywords: Lanthanide picrate; Open-chain crown ether; Crystal structure; Extraction

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Glycol-O,O'-diacetamide compounds have been widely studied in connection with extraction of lanthanide or some actinide ions, and in ion-selective electrodes [1–4]. The rare earth complexes and extraction studies of this type of compound have been reported, among which N,N,N',N'-tetraphenyl-3,6-dioxaoctanediamide shows a high extraction ability towards light lanthanides. This property depends on a ring-like coordination structure and the terminal group effect [5–9]. As a further study of such ligands, to promote the design of better extractants and further exploration of the relationship between structure and property, we describe here the solvent extraction of lanthanide picrates with 1,1'-(3,6,9-trioxaundecanedionyl)diphenothiazine and the structure of its erbium picrate complex.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Fax: +86-931-8912582. E-mail: liuws@lzu.edu.cn

ISSN 0095-8972 print: ISSN 1029-0389 online  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2003 Taylor & Francis Ltd DOI: 10.1080/00958970310001596782

## EXPERIMENTAL

## Materials

The lanthanide picrate [10] and L [11] were prepared according to literature methods. All chemicals were of AR grade and used without further purification.  $^{152}$ Eu(III) was purchased from China National Nuclear Corporation.

## **General Preparation of the Complexes**

A solution of 0.1 mmol L in  $15 \text{ cm}^3$  of absolute alcohol was added dropwise to a solution of 0.1 mmol lanthanide picrate in  $20 \text{ cm}^3$  of absolute alcohol. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The precipitated solid complex was filtered, washed with absolute alcohol and then dried *in vacuo* over P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>10</sub> for 48 h. A transparent single crystal of the erbium complex was grown from CH<sub>3</sub>CN by slow evaporation at room temperature over approximately one month.

## **Chemical and Physical Measurements**

The metal ions were determined by EDTA titration using xylenal orange as an indicator. C, N and H were determined using a Vario EL elemental analyser. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet avatar 360 FT-IR instrument using KBr discs in the  $400-4000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  region, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were measured on a Brucker AC 80 spectrometer in CD<sub>3</sub>COCD<sub>3</sub> solution with TMS as internal standard.

## X-ray Crystallography

For the erbium complex, X-ray measurements were performed on a P4 four-circle diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation at 296(2) K. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques with all non-hydrogen atoms treated anisotropically. All calculations were performed on an Eclipse S/140 computer with the program package SHELXTL.

## Solvent Extraction

The multitracer solution was prepared using  $UO_2(NO_3)_2$  as the target material irradiated with a 60 MeV/nucleon <sup>18</sup>O<sup>8+</sup> ion beam at the Heavy Ion Research Facility in Lanzhou, China. The chemical separation procedure was similar to the literature [12]. The obtained multitracer solution contained 12 radioactive rare earth nuclides: <sup>140</sup>La, <sup>141</sup>Ce, <sup>147</sup>Nd, <sup>148</sup>Pm, <sup>147</sup>Eu, <sup>149</sup>Gd, <sup>153</sup>Tb, <sup>160</sup>Er, <sup>167</sup>Tm, <sup>166</sup>Yb, <sup>177</sup>Lu and <sup>87</sup>Y. The solvents were saturated with each other prior to use to prevent volume changes of the phases during extraction. Ion strength was adjusted to be 0.1 with a lithium chloride solution of L in a test tube with a ground stopper at room temperature for 5 min. After phase separation by centrifugation (1 min, 3000 rpm), 1.0-cm<sup>3</sup> samples were taken from each phase and their  $\gamma$ -activities were assayed using a calibrated HPGe  $\gamma$ -ray spectrometer. The detector had an efficiency of 40% and

a resolution of 2.3 KeV at 1322 KeV. The  $\gamma$ -ray spectra recorded on 4096 channels were analysed and the peak areas of the spectra were computed with the code SAMPO [13] on a PII computer. Assignment of the nuclides to each peak of the  $\gamma$ -ray spectra was made on the basis of its energy and half-life. The distribution ratio (*D*) was determined as the ratio of the radioactivity of the organic and aqueous phases.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Analytical data for the complexes conform to a 1:3:1 metal-to-picrate-to-L stoichiometry Ln(pic)<sub>3</sub>L (see Table I). All complexes are soluble in CHCl<sub>3</sub>, DMSO, DMF, CH<sub>3</sub>CN, CH<sub>3</sub>COCH<sub>3</sub>, slightly soluble in C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH, CH<sub>3</sub>COOC<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, and sparingly soluble in benzene, diethyl ether and cyclohexane.

#### **IR** spectra

The IR spectrum of free L shows bands at 1680 and  $1111 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  which may be assigned to  $\nu(C=O)$  and  $\nu(C-O-C)$ , respectively. In the IR spectra of the lanthanum complex, the two bands shift by 70 and 33 cm<sup>-1</sup> towards lower wave numbers, thus indicating that all the carbonyl and ether oxygen atoms take part in coordination to the metal ion. The larger shift for  $\nu(C=O)$  in the spectra of the complexes suggests that the Ln–O (carbonyl) bond is stronger than Ln–O (ether).

The OH out-of-plane bending vibration of the free Hpic at  $1151 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  disappears in the spectra of the complexes, indicating that the H-atom of the OH group is replaced by Ln(III). The vibration  $\nu$ (C–O) at  $1265 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  is shifted toward higher frequency by *ca*.  $9 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the complexes, suggesting that the interaction between metal ion and picrate group is very weak. The free Hpic has  $\nu_{as}(NO_2)$  and  $\nu_s(NO_2)$  at 1555 and 1342 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while in the complexes they split into two bands at *ca*. 1576, 1540 cm<sup>-1</sup> (for  $\nu_{as}$ ), and 1357, 1326 cm<sup>-1</sup> (for  $\nu_s$ ), respectively. This indicates that some of the nitronyl oxygen atoms take part in coordination [14].

### <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra

The spectrum of L exhibits three resolved signals at 7.41, 4.30 and 3.62 ppm assigned to phenothiazinyl,  $-C(O)CH_2$ - and  $-C_2H_4$ - protons, respectively. Upon coordination, the proton signals move to lower field. The signals of  $-C(O)CH_2$ -,  $-C_2H_4$ - are shifted by 0.61 and 0.54 ppm, while those of the phenothiazinyl protons by only 0.09 ppm. This is due to the inductive effect of Ln–O (L) bonds. The fact that the shift is larger for

Complex	Analysis (%) <sup>a</sup>					
	С	Н	Ν	Ln		
La(Pic) <sub>3</sub> L	33.85(33.67)	3.57(3.39)	10.16(9.82)	19.02(19.47)		
Eu(pic) <sub>3</sub> L Y(pic) <sub>3</sub> L	33.61(33.06) 36.62(36.21)	3.80(3.33) 3.98(3.65)	9.55(9.64) 10.44(10.56)	20.15(20.92) 13.32(13.40)		

TABLE I Analytical data for the complexes

<sup>a</sup>Calculated values in parentheses.

 $-C(O)CH_2$ - protons than for  $-C_2H_4$ - ones indicates the Ln-O (C=O) bond is stronger than the Ln-O (C-O-C) one.

The proton signal of OH group in the free Hpic disappears in the complexes, indicating that the hydrogen atom of OH group is replaced by Ln(III). The benzene-ring protons of the free Hipc exhibit a *singlet* at 9.12 ppm. Upon coordination, the signal moves to a higher field. Only one *singlet* is observed for the benzene-ring protons of the three coordinated picrate, groups indicating fast exchange among the groups in solution [15].

#### Crystal Structure of the Erbium Complex

Figure 1 shows the structure and the atomic numbering scheme for the erbium complex. Figure 2 shows the molecular packing arrangement in the unit cell. A summary of the crystallographic data and details of the structure refinements are listed in Table II. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Table III.

The crystal structure is composed of  $[Er(pic)_3L]$  and one half  $C_2H_5OH$  molecule linked by weak *van der Waals* forces. The Er(III) ion is coordinated to nine oxygen atoms, five from L, four from two unidentate and one bidentate picrates. The coordination polyhedron is a tri-capped distorted trigonal prism. The ligand molecule wraps



FIGURE 1 The molecular structure of  $[Er(pic)_3L] \cdot 1/2C_2H_5OH$ .



FIGURE 2 Unit cell contents for  $[Er(pic)_3L] \cdot 1/2C_2H_5OH$ .

around the metal ion with its oxygen atoms and forms a ring-like coordination structure together with one oxygen from the bidentate picrate at the open side of the ring (Fig. 3). Five oxygen atoms of L are almost coplanar, with a mean absolute deviation of 0.2047 Å from the mean plane. The erbium atom lies out of this plane by 0.5909 Å. Two other picrates are located outside the mean plane.

The average distance between the erbium and the coordination oxygen atoms is 2.372 Å. The Er–O (C=O) distance (mean 2.290 Å) is significantly shorter than the Er–O (C–O–C) one (mean 2.420 Å). This suggests that the Er–O (C=O) bond is stronger than the Er–O (C–O–C) one, in agreement with the IR spectrum data.

#### **Extraction Studies**

Extraction of  $^{152}$ Eu(III) was carried out initially, in order to ascertain the optimum extraction condition. The effect of pH in aqueous phase on extraction behavior showed that extraction of  $^{152}$ Eu(III) reached a maximum at pH 2.5. Thus, all other experiments were carried out at pH 2.5.

Empirical formula $C_{51}H_{37}N_{11}O_{26.50}S_2Er$ Temperature (K)296(2)Crystal colorVellowCrystal size (mm) $0.58 \times 0.48 \times 0.22$ Formula weight1459.30Space group $P_{21/c}$ Crystal systemMonocliclicUnit cell dimensions11.725(2) $a$ (Å)11.725(2) $b$ (Å)21.569(3) $c$ (Å)22.999(4) $\beta^{(°)}$ 99.848(11) $V$ (Å <sup>3</sup> )5731(2) $Z$ 4Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )1.691Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )1.637 $F$ (000)2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement method1.76-24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup> 0.939 $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633		
Temperature (K)296(2)Crystal colorVellowCrystal size (mm) $0.58 \times 0.48 \times 0.22$ Formula weight1459.30Space group $P_{2_1/c}$ Crystal systemMonoclicicUnit cell dimensions11.725(2) $a$ (Å)21.569(3) $c$ (Å)22.999(4) $\beta^{(°)}_{(A)}$ 99.848(11) $V'$ (Å <sup>3</sup> )731(2) $Z$ 4Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )1.691Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )1.637 $F$ (000)2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76–24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	Empirical formula	$C_{51}H_{37}N_{11}O_{26.50}S_2Er$
Crystal colorYellowCrystal size (mm) $0.58 \times 0.48 \times 0.22$ Formula weight1459.30Space group $P_2_1/c$ Crystal systemMonoclicicUnit cell dimensions $11.725(2)$ $a$ (Å) $21.569(3)$ $c$ (Å) $22.999(4)$ $\beta$ (°) $99.848(11)$ $V$ (Å3) $731(2)$ $Z$ $4$ Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> ) $1.691$ Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> ) $1.637$ $F$ (000) $2228$ Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å) $0.71073$ Reflections collected $9494$ Independent reflections $9005$ Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission $0.9918$ and $0.7543$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters $9003/8/966$ $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $0.939$ $R$ (ald data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (ald tata) $R_1 = 0.0357, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, -0.633$	Temperature (K)	296(2)
Crystal size (mm) $0.58 \times 0.48 \times 0.22$ Formula weight1459.30Space group $P_{2_1/c}$ Crystal systemMonoclicicUnit cell dimensions $11.725(2)$ $a$ (Å) $21.569(3)$ $c$ (Å) $22.999(4)$ $\beta$ (°) $99.848(11)$ $V$ (ų) $5731(2)$ $Z$ $4$ Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> ) $1.691$ Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> ) $1.637$ $F$ (000) $2928$ Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å) $0.71073$ Reflections collected $9494$ Independent reflections $9005$ Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission $0.918$ and $0.7543$ Refinement method $1.76-24.00$ Data/restraints/parameters $9003/8/966$ $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on F² $0.939$ $R$ (al data) $R_1 = 0.0355; wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (ald data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e ų] $0.859, -0.633$	Crystal color	Yellow
Formula weight1459.30Space group $P2_1/c$ Crystal systemMonocliclicUnit cell dimensions $11.725(2)$ $a$ (Å) $11.725(2)$ $b$ (Å) $21.569(3)$ $c$ (Å) $22.999(4)$ $\beta^{(^{\circ})}_{(^{\circ})}$ 99.848(11) $V$ (Å <sup>3</sup> ) $731(2)$ $Z$ 4Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )1.691Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )1.637 $F$ (000)2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76–24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0557, wR_2 = 0.0931$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	Crystal size (mm)	$0.58 \times 0.48 \times 0.22$
Space group $P_{2_1/c}$ Crystal systemMonocliclicUnit cell dimensions11.725(2) $a$ (Å)11.569(3) $c$ (Å)22.999(4) $\beta$ (°)99.848(11) $V$ (Å3)5731(2) $Z$ 4Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )1.691Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )1.637 $F$ (000)2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>n</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	Formula weight	1459.30
Crystal systemMonocliclicUnit cell dimensions11.725(2) $a$ (Å)11.725(2) $b$ (Å)21.569(3) $c$ (Å)22.999(4) $\beta$ (°)99.848(11) $V$ (Å3)5731(2) $Z$ 4Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )1.691Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )1.637 $F$ (000)2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $0.939$ $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [ $e$ Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, -0.633$	Space group	$P2_1/c$
Unit cell dimensions $11.725(2)$ $a$ (Å) $11.725(2)$ $b$ (Å) $21.569(3)$ $c$ (Å) $22.999(4)$ $\beta^{(^{\circ})}$ $99.848(11)$ $V$ (Å <sup>3</sup> ) $5731(2)$ $Z$ 4Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> ) $1.691$ Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> ) $1.637$ $F$ (000) $2928$ Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å) $0.71073$ Reflections collected $9494$ Independent reflections $9005$ Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission $0.9918$ and $0.7543$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters $9003/8/966$ $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup> $0.939$ $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, -0.633$	Crystal system	Monocliclic
$a(\tilde{A})$ $11.725(2)$ $b(\tilde{A})$ $21.569(3)$ $c(\tilde{A})$ $22.999(4)$ $\beta^{(2)}_{0}$ $99.848(11)$ $V(\tilde{A}^3)$ $5731(2)$ $Z$ $4$ Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> ) $1.691$ Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> ) $1.637$ $F(000)$ $2928$ Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å) $0.71073$ Reflections collected $9494$ Independent reflections $9005$ Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission $0.9918$ and $0.7543$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters $9003/8/966$ $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $0.939$ $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, -0.633$	Unit cell dimensions	
b $(\mathring{A})$ 21.569(3)c $(\mathring{A})$ 22.999(4) $\beta(\check{C})$ 99.848(11)V $(\mathring{A}^3)$ 5731(2)Z4Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )1.691Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )1.637F (000)2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (\mathring{A})0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76-24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	a (Å)	11.725(2)
$c$ (Å) $22.999(4)$ $\beta$ (°) $99.848(11)$ $V$ (Å3) $5731(2)$ $Z$ $4$ Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> ) $1.691$ Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> ) $1.637$ $F$ (000) $2928$ Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å) $0.71073$ Reflections collected $9494$ Independent reflections $9005$ Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission $0.9918$ and $0.7543$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters $9003/8/966$ $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup> $0.939$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, -0.633$	$b(\dot{A})$	21.569(3)
$\beta(\overset{\circ}{0})$ 99.848(11) $V(\overset{\circ}{A}^3)$ 5731(2) $Z$ 4Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )1.691Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )1.637 $F(000)$ 2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76-24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	$c(\dot{A})$	22.999(4)
$V(Å^3)$ $5731(2)$ $Z$ 4Density (calculated) (Mg m <sup>-3</sup> )1.691Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )1.637 $F(000)$ 2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76-24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	$\beta(\circ)$	99.848(11)
Z4Density (calculated) $(Mg m^{-3})$ 1.691Absorption Coefficient $(mm^{-1})$ 1.637F (000)2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76-24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	$V(Å^3)$	5731(2)
Density (calculated) $(Mg m^{-3})$ 1.691Absorption Coefficient $(mm^{-1})$ 1.637 $F(000)$ 2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76-24.00Index range0 $\leq h \leq 13; 0 \leq k \leq 24; -26 \leq l \leq 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	Z	4
Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )1.637 $F(000)$ 2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)0.71073Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76-24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	Density (calculated) $(Mgm^{-3})$	1.691
$F(000)$ 2928Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å) $0.71073$ Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission $0.9918$ and $0.7543$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters $9003/8/966$ $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $0.939$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, -0.633$	Absorption Coefficient (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.637
Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å) $0.71073$ Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission $0.918$ and $0.7543$ Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters $9003/8/966$ $\phi$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; \ 0 \le k \le 24; \ -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $0.939$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0355, \ wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, \ wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, \ -0.633$	F (000)	2928
Reflections collected9494Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; \ 0 \le k \le 24; \ -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $0.939$ $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, \ wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, \ wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, -0.633$	Radiation, graphite-monochromatized (Å)	0.71073
Independent reflections9005Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; \ 0 \le k \le 24; \ -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ $0.939$ $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, \ wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, \ wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, \ -0.633$	Reflections collected	9494
Absorption correctionSemi-empirical from $\psi$ -scansMax. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76-24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; \ 0 \le k \le 24; \ -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, \ wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, \ wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	Independent reflections	9005
Max. and min. transmission0.9918 and 0.7543Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76-24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; \ 0 \le k \le 24; \ -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, \ wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, \ wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from $\psi$ -scans
Refinement methodFull-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ Data/restraints/parameters9003/8/966 $\theta$ Range for data collection1.76–24.00Index range $0 \le h \le 13; \ 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R$ [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] <sup>a</sup> $R_1 = 0.0355, \ wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, \ wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]0.859, -0.633	Max. and min. transmission	0.9918 and 0.7543
Data/restraints/parameters $9003/8/966$ $\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; \ 0 \le k \le 24; \ -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup> $0.939$ $R [I > 2\sigma(I)]^a$ $R_1 = 0.0355, \ wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, \ wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, \ -0.633$	Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$
$\theta$ Range for data collection $1.76-24.00$ Index range $0 \le h \le 13; \ 0 \le k \le 24; \ -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup> $0.939$ $R [I > 2\sigma(I)]^a$ $R_1 = 0.0355, \ wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, \ wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, \ -0.633$	Data/restraints/parameters	9003/8/966
Index range $0 \le h \le 13; \ 0 \le k \le 24; -26 \le l \le 25$ Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup> $0.939$ $R \ [I \ge 2\sigma(I)]^a$ $R_1 = 0.0355, \ wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R \ (all \ data)$ $R_1 = 0.0577, \ wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, \ -0.633$	$\theta$ Range for data collection	1.76-24.00
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$ 0.939 $R [I > 2\sigma(I)]^a$ $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [e Å <sup>3</sup> ]       0.859, -0.633	Index range	$0 \le h \le 13; \ 0 \le k \le 24; \ -26 \le l \le 25$
$R [I > 2\sigma(I)]^a$ $R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$ $R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [eÅ <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, -0.633$	Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	0.939
$R$ (all data) $R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$ Largest difference peak and hole [eÅ <sup>3</sup> ] $0.859, -0.633$	$R\left[I > 2\sigma(I)\right]^{\mathrm{a}}$	$R_1 = 0.0355, wR_2 = 0.0831$
Largest difference peak and hole $[e Å^3]$ 0.859, -0.633	R (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0577, wR_2 = 0.0970$
	Largest difference peak and hole [eÅ <sup>3</sup> ]	0.859, -0.633

TABLE II Crystal data and structure refinement for the complex  $[Er(pic)_3L] \cdot 1/2C_2H_5OH$ 

<sup>a</sup> $\omega = 1/[\sigma^2(F_O)^2 + (0.0337 p)^2]; p = (F_O^2 + F_C^2)/3.$ 

TABLE III Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for  $[Er(pic)_3L] \cdot 1/2C_2H_5OH$ 

Er-O(1)	2.308(3)	ErO(6)	2.374(3)
Er-O(2)	2.440(3)	Er-O(13)	2.309(3)
Er-O(3)	2.324(3)	Er-O(20)	2.274(3)
Er-O(4)	2.497(3)	Er-O(21)	2.551(4)
Er–O(5)	2.271(3)		()
O(5)-Er-O(20)	136.36(12)	O(5)–Er–O(1)	89.03(12)
O(20)–Er–O(1)	76.94(13)	O(5)-Er- $O(13)$	83.96(12)
O(20)-Er-O(13)	76.56(13)	O(1)–Er–O(13)	132.64(12)
O(5)–Er–O(3)	128.91(12)	O(20)–Er–O(3)	88.23(13)
O(1)-Er-O(3)	132.17(12)	O(13)–Er–O(3)	85.17(13)
O(5)-Er-O(6)	73.11(12)	O(20)–Er–O(6)	140.24(13)
O(1)–Er– $O(6)$	78.19(11)	O(13)–Er–O(6)	141.70(12)
O(3)–Er–O(6)	85.98(12)	O(5)– $Er$ – $O(2)$	137.14(12)
O(20) - Er - O(2)	72.51(12)	O(1)–Er– $O(2)$	64.60(11)
O(13)-Er- $O(2)$	138.84(12)	O(3)-Er- $O(2)$	67.58(12)
O(6)– $Er$ – $O(2)$	68.93(12)	O(5)– $Er$ – $O(4)$	64.27(11)
O(20)-Er-O(4)	137.91(12)	O(1)– $Er$ – $O(4)$	145.07(11)
O(13)-Er- $O(4)$	69.58(11)	O(3)-Er- $O(4)$	65.13(12)
O(6)-Er-O(4)	72.88(11)	O(2)– $Er$ – $O(4)$	119.94(11)
O(5)-Er-O(21)	69.11(12)	O(20)–Er–O(21)	67.43(13)
O(1)–Er–O(21)	65.82(12)	O(13)–Er–O(21)	67.96(12)
O(3)–Er–O(21)	146.82(12)	O(6) - Er - O(21)	127.18(12)
O(2)–Er–O(21)	121.28(11)	O(4)–Er–O(21)	118.64(11)



FIGURE 3 The ring-like coordination structure of  $[Er(pic)_3L] \cdot 1/2C_2H_5OH$ .

The L concentration variation  $(2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ to } 2.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol dm}^{-1})$  experiments were carried out under fixed aqueous phase conditions. The slope analysis result demonstrated that the stoichiometry of the extraction complex is Eu(Pic)<sub>3</sub>L, this being in agreement with the result of the single cell analysis by X-ray.

In order to investigate the coordination selectivity of L for rare earth ions, the extraction of 12 rare earth elements by a nitrobenzene solution of L was carried out using the multitracer method. The percentage extractions of rare earth ions are plotted as a function of the reciprocal ionic radius  $(R^{-1})$  of the rare earth in Fig. 4. It was observed that the extraction decreases regularly with increase in the atomic number. The percentage extraction of Y varies similarly with its ionic radius. The phenomenon of the reverse order has been reported in Refs. [16,17] where N,N,N',N'-tetraphenyl-3,6-dioxaoctanediamide [16] and 18-crown-6 [17] were used for extraction of rare earth elements, respectively. The reverse extraction sequence suggests that extractabilities of trivalent rare earth cations are determined mainly by the hydration energy of the cations extracted. The extraction of the heavier rare earth ions, possessing higher hydration free energy, is more difficult than that of the lighter rare earth ions, possessing lower hydration free energy. Similarly, in studies on the extraction of alkaline earth metal picrates, the barium ion, with the lowest hydration energy in the series, is favored over any other alkaline earths, irrespective of the cavity size X. LI et al.



FIGURE 4 Percentage extraction as a function of the reciprocal ionic radius of the rare earth.

	La	Ce	Nd	Pm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Y
D	1.5	1.1	1	0.85	0.39	0.31	0.25	0.2	0.09	0.065	0.058	0.074

TABLE IV Extraction selectivity of L for the rare earth ions

of the ligand [18]. It seems that the reverse order is a common characteristic when crown-ethers, either cyclic or acyclic, are employed as extractants. This is ascribed to the weaker ability of C=O to coordinate to a metal cation than P=O to do so. The crown ether, unlike TBP, cannot completely replace the hydration water molecules bound tightly in the first solvation shell of the cations.

The extraction selectivities of L for the rare earth elements are listed in Table IV. It can be seen that inter-group separation of the rare earth elements by L is possible, although inner-group separation factors are not high.

## CONCLUSION

The structural results above show that L acts as a pentadentate ligand forming a ringlike coordinate structure. The internal cavity formed by the coordinating oxygen atoms is suitable for the uptake of a cation. The reverse extraction sequence suggests that L is a useful extractant in the separation between lighter and heavier rare earth elements. Further studies on other open-chain crown ethers with different structures (the length of  $-CH_2OCH_2$ - units and terminal groups) as extractants must be of significance both in the study of coordination chemistry and in the predetermined design and synthesis of new extractants for rare earth elements.

	x	у	Z	$U_{ m eq}$
Er	3933(1)	924(1)	7813(1)	29(1)
S(1)	7264(1)	3089(1)	9285(1)	57(1)
S(2)	926(1)	1786(1)	5126(1)	55(1)
O(1)	4647(3)	1919(2)	7969(2)	35(1)
O(2)	3701(3)	1391(2)	8752(2)	37(1)
O(3)	3046(3)	258(2)	8393(2)	41(1)
O(4)	2350(3)	274(2)	7258(2)	33(1)
O(5)	3415(3)	1150(2)	6839(2)	34(1)
O(6)	2204(3)	1517(2)	7714(2)	37(1)
O(7)	314(4)	826(2)	7993(2)	63(1)
O(8)	-299(4)	357(2)	7163(2)	74(1)
O(9)	-2104(4)	1913(3)	5744(2)	98(2)
O(10)	-1229(5)	2777(3)	5690(3)	113(2)
O(11)	2923(4)	2839(2)	6769(2)	78(1)
O(12)	2627(4)	2846(2)	7666(2)	64(1)
O(13)	4685(3)	33(2)	7470(2)	39(1)
O(14)	4728(4)	69(2)	6272(2)	71(1)
O(15)	6395(4)	422(2)	6156(2)	74(1)
O(16)	9430(4)	-1003(2)	7014(3)	75(1)
O(17)	9629(4)	-1147(2)	7955(3)	89(2)
O(18)	6416(5)	-624(3)	8962(3)	110(2)
O(19)	4774(4)	-765(2)	8425(2)	86(2)
O(20)	5618(3)	781(2)	8453(2)	44(1)
O(21)	5712(3)	1147(2)	7345(2)	44(1)
O(22)	7341(5)	1470(3)	7172(3)	109(2)
O(23)	10346(6)	2186(3)	8634(5)	143(4)
O(24)	10430(6)	1834(3)	9509(4)	170(4)
0(25)	6988(13)	605(6)	9975(5)	338(10)
O(26)	5677(7)	1225(5)	9704(3)	180(4)
IN(1) N(2)	5091(3) 2(58(2)	2/98(2)	8483(2)	36(1)
IN(2)	2658(3)	1013(2)	5888(2) 7455(2)	36(1)
N(3)	109(4)	807(2)	7435(3)	48(1)
IN(4)	-1312(5)	2276(3)	5907(5) 7157(2)	/3(2)
N(5)	2402(4)	20/2(2) 124(2)	(13/(3))	48(1)
N(0) N(7)	5709(4) 0081(4)	134(2)	0438(2)	59(1)
IN(7)	9081(4) 5700(5)	-965(2)	/480(3)	58(2)
N(0)	5790(5)	-0.34(3)	8484( <i>3</i> ) 7512(2)	56(1)
N(9)	0/11(4)	1515(2)	7313(2) 0014(6)	30(1)
N(10)	5557(7)	081(4)	9014(0)	110(4) 104(2)
$\Gamma(11)$	7102(4)	2071(2)	9017(3) 8506(3)	104(2)
C(1)	8041(5)	3164(3)	8226(4)	56(2)
C(2)	7920(6)	3126(3)	7623(4)	50(2)
C(3)	6853(6)	3019(3)	7293(3)	60(2)
C(4)	5893(5)	2926(3)	7293(3)	50(2)
C(5)	6023(4)	2920(3)	8165(3)	30(2) 39(1)
C(0)	5887(4)	3396(2)	9348(2)	40(1)
C(8)	5752(5)	3813(3)	9787(3)	47(2)
C(0)	4684(5)	4068(3)	9811(3)	49(2)
C(10)	3755(5)	3915(3)	9383(3)	46(2)
C(11)	3866(5)	3490(3)	8948(3)	41(1)
C(12)	4922(4)	3228(2)	8940(2)	36(1)
C(13)	4507(4)	2259(2)	8386(2)	33(1)
C(14)	3725(5)	2052(3)	8807(3)	39(1)
C(15)	2907(6)	1081(3)	9072(3)	48(2)
C(16)	3129(7)	402(3)	9008(3)	53(2)
C(17)	2223(5)	-219(3)	8173(3)	43(2)
C(18)	2280(5)	-325(3)	7537(3)	43(2)

TABLE V Coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters of non-hydrogen atoms

(continued)

TABLE V Continued

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	39(1) 33(1) 41(1) 56(2) 61(2) 55(2) 45(2) 38(1) 43(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	33(1) 41(1) 56(2) 61(2) 55(2) 45(2) 38(1) 43(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41(1) 56(2) 61(2) 55(2) 45(2) 38(1) 43(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	56(2) 61(2) 55(2) 45(2) 38(1) 43(1)
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C(23) & 811(5) & 89(4) & 4410(3) \\ C(24) & 1634(5) & -237(3) & 4802(3) \\ C(25) & 2243(5) & 61(3) & 5295(3) \\ C(26) & 2009(4) & 670(3) & 5408(2) \\ C(27) & 2377(5) & 2007(3) & 5408(2) \\ C(28) & 2769(6) & 2594(3) & 5283(3) \\ C(29) & 3901(6) & 2755(3) & 5484(3) \\ C(30) & 4660(6) & 2333(3) & 5799(3) \\ C(31) & 4262(5) & 1755(3) & 5927(3) \\ C(32) & 3122(4) & 1606(2) & 5748(2) \\ C(33) & 1379(4) & 1702(2) & 7325(2) \\ C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \\ \end{array}$	61(2) 55(2) 45(2) 38(1) 43(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccc} C(24) & 1634(5) & -237(3) & 4802(3) \\ C(25) & 2243(5) & 61(3) & 5295(3) \\ C(26) & 2009(4) & 670(3) & 5401(2) \\ C(27) & 2377(5) & 2007(3) & 5408(2) \\ C(28) & 2769(6) & 2594(3) & 5283(3) \\ C(29) & 3901(6) & 2755(3) & 5484(3) \\ C(30) & 4660(6) & 2333(3) & 5799(3) \\ C(31) & 4262(5) & 1755(3) & 5927(3) \\ C(32) & 3122(4) & 1606(2) & 5748(2) \\ C(33) & 1379(4) & 1702(2) & 7325(2) \\ C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \\ \end{array}$	55(2) 45(2) 38(1) 43(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccc} C(25) & 2243(5) & 61(3) & 5295(3) \\ C(26) & 2009(4) & 670(3) & 5401(2) \\ C(27) & 2377(5) & 2007(3) & 5408(2) \\ C(28) & 2769(6) & 2594(3) & 5283(3) \\ C(29) & 3901(6) & 2755(3) & 5484(3) \\ C(30) & 4660(6) & 2333(3) & 5799(3) \\ C(31) & 4262(5) & 1755(3) & 5927(3) \\ C(32) & 3122(4) & 1606(2) & 5748(2) \\ C(33) & 1379(4) & 1702(2) & 7325(2) \\ C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \\ \end{array}$	45(2) 38(1) 43(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccc} C(26) & 2009(4) & 670(3) & 5401(2) \\ C(27) & 2377(5) & 2007(3) & 5408(2) \\ C(28) & 2769(6) & 2594(3) & 5283(3) \\ C(29) & 3901(6) & 2755(3) & 5484(3) \\ C(30) & 4660(6) & 2333(3) & 5799(3) \\ C(31) & 4262(5) & 1755(3) & 5927(3) \\ C(32) & 3122(4) & 1606(2) & 5748(2) \\ C(33) & 1379(4) & 1702(2) & 7325(2) \\ C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \\ \end{array}$	38(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccc} C(27) & 2377(5) & 2007(3) & 5408(2) \\ C(28) & 2769(6) & 2594(3) & 5283(3) \\ C(29) & 3901(6) & 2755(3) & 5484(3) \\ C(30) & 4660(6) & 2333(3) & 5799(3) \\ C(31) & 4262(5) & 1755(3) & 5927(3) \\ C(32) & 3122(4) & 1606(2) & 5748(2) \\ C(33) & 1379(4) & 1702(2) & 7325(2) \\ C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \\ \end{array}$	13(1)
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C(28) & 2769(6) & 2594(3) & 5283(3) \\ C(29) & 3901(6) & 2755(3) & 5484(3) \\ C(30) & 4660(6) & 2333(3) & 5799(3) \\ C(31) & 4262(5) & 1755(3) & 5927(3) \\ C(32) & 3122(4) & 1606(2) & 5748(2) \\ C(33) & 1379(4) & 1702(2) & 7325(2) \\ C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \\ \end{array}$	+J(1)
$\begin{array}{cccccc} C(29) & 3901(6) & 2755(3) & 5484(3) \\ C(30) & 4660(6) & 2333(3) & 5799(3) \\ C(31) & 4262(5) & 1755(3) & 5927(3) \\ C(32) & 3122(4) & 1606(2) & 5748(2) \\ C(33) & 1379(4) & 1702(2) & 7325(2) \\ C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \\ \end{array}$	56(2)
$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(30) & 4660(6) & 2333(3) & 5799(3) \\ C(31) & 4262(5) & 1755(3) & 5927(3) \\ C(32) & 3122(4) & 1606(2) & 5748(2) \\ C(33) & 1379(4) & 1702(2) & 7325(2) \\ C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \\ \end{array}$	60(2)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	51(2)
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} C(32) & 3122(4) & 1606(2) & 5748(2) \\ C(33) & 1379(4) & 1702(2) & 7325(2) \\ C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \\ \end{array}$	45(1)
$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(33) & 1379(4) & 1702(2) & 7325(2) \\ C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \\ \end{array}$	37(1)
$\begin{array}{cccc} C(34) & 326(4) & 1367(2) & 7132(2) \\ C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \end{array}$	35(1)
$\begin{array}{cccc} C(35) & -512(5) & 1535(3) & 6665(3) \\ C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \end{array}$	39(1)
$\begin{array}{cccc} C(36) & -404(5) & 2078(3) & 6378(3) \\ C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \end{array}$	47(2)
$\begin{array}{cccc} C(37) & 557(5) & 2440(3) & 6538(3) \\ C(38) & 1406(4) & 2260(2) & 6991(2) \\ C(39) & 5662(4) & -209(2) & 7467(3) \end{array}$	48(2)
C(38)1406(4)2260(2)6991(2)C(39)5662(4)-209(2)7467(3)	47(2)
C(39) 5662(4) -209(2) 7467(3)	38(1)
	41(1)
C(40) 6288(4) -169(2) 6982(3)	40(1)
C(41) 7387(5) -398(3) 6998(3)	43(2)
C(42) 7945(4) -695(3) 7498(3)	46(2)
C(43) 7429(5) -750(3) 7983(4)	51(2)
C(44) 6320(5) -525(3) 7966(3)	47(2)
C(45) 6563(5) 1075(3) 8563(3)	47(2)
C(46) 7175(4) 1332(3) 8137(3)	47(2)
C(47) 8261(5) 1612(3) 8285(4)	67(2)
C(48) 8764(6) 1645(3) 8860(5)	82(3)
C(49) 8229(7) 1437(4) 9308(5)	81(3)
C(50) 7146(6) 1168(3) 9158(3)	64(2)
O(27) 9779(22) -214(14) 9342(11) 22	29(10)
C(51) 10430(20) 295(12) 9619(18) 15	\$3(15)
C(52) 10804(24) 449(17) 10247(16) 15	0/10

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (projects 20371022 and 20071015), the Foundation for University Key Teacher by the Ministry of Education, and the Key Project of the Ministry of Education of China (01170) for financial support.

#### References

- [1] Y. Gao and J. Ni, J. Nucl. Radiochem. 5, 146 (1982).
- [2] J.C.G. Bunzli, J.M. Pfefferle, B. Ammann, G. Chapuis and F.J. Zuniga, Helv. Chim. Acta 67, 196 (1984).
- [3] L. Fan, W. Liu, X. Gan, N. Tang, M. Tan, W. Jiang and K. Yu, Polyhedron 19, 779 (2000).
- [4] Y. Yang, Y. Ding and G. Tan, J. Nucl. Radiochem. 6, 196 (1984).
  [5] S. Liu, W. Liu, M. Tan and K. Yu, J. Coord. Chem. 39, 105 (1996).
  [6] S. Liu, W. Liu, M. Tan and K. Yu, Polyhedron 16, 1491 (1997).
- [7] S. Liu, W. Liu and M. Tan, Indian J. Chem. 36 A, 206 (1997).
- [8] S. Liu, W. Liu, M. Tan, K. Yu and G. Tan, Helv. Chim. Acta 80, 586 (1997).
- [9] M.-J. Brienne, J. Gabard, M. Leclercq and J.-M. Lehn, Helv. Chim. Acta 79, 856 (1997).
- [10] D. Li, X. Gan, M. Tan and X. Wang, Polyhedron 23, 3991 (1996).
- [11] G. Tan, J. Xu and T. Jiao, Chin. J. Org. Chem. 2, 143 (1986).

- [12] X. Yin, J. Du, X. Zhang, X. Wang, X. Dai, T. Sun and Z. Tao, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., Lett. 214, 89 (1996).
- [13] J.T. Routti and S.G. Prussin, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 72, 125 (1969).
- [14] Y. Tian, Y. Liang and J. Ni, *Chem. J. Chin. Univ.* 9, 113 (1998).
   [15] S. Liu, W. Liu, M. Tan, K. Yu and G. Tan, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 80, 586 (1997).
- [16] Y. Ding, J. Lu, Y. Yang, G. Tan and J. Xu, *Huaxue Shiji* **8**, 210 (1986).
- [17] K. Nakagawa and S. Okado, Anal. Chem. 60, 2527 (1988).
- [18] Y. Inoue, M. Ouchi and T. Hakushi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 58, 525 (1985).